

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,325	03/12/2004	Randy L. Hoffman	200315134-1	8192
22879	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPAN	TRAN, THIEN F		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/799,325	HOFFMAN, RANDY L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thien F. Tran	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		*				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	August 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,28-30 and 34-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6,12,13 and 37-39 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-11,14,28-30,34-36 and 40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	!				
Application Papers		1				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	!				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/13/05</u>, <u>03/12/04</u>. 	_	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of species 1 with claims 1-3, 7-11, 28-30, 34-36 and 40 readable thereon in the reply filed on 08/02/2005 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 06/13/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Reference 8Q has some pages missing (missing pages 193-195).

The information disclosure statement filed 06/13/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Copies of Foreign patent document, WO 02/15233, EP 1306858, and EP 1367657 have not been provided for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2811

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 30 recites the limitation "the physical vapor deposition technique" in lines

1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7-9, 14, 28-30, 34 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cillessen et al. (US 5,744,864).

Cillessen et al. disclose a semiconductor device 1 of Figure 2 comprising an electrode 2 characterized as a drain electrode and an electrode 3 characterized as a source electrode; a channel 4 contacting the drain electrode and the source electrode, wherein the channel includes a gallium oxide, Ga₂O₃ (col. 5, lines 34-40); a gate electrode 5; and a gate dielectric 6 positioned between the gate electrode and the channel.

Regarding claim 7, the channel 4 is positioned between and electrically coupling the drain electrode and the source electrode.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claims 8, 14 and 40, at least one of the drain electrode, the source electrode, the channel, and gate electrode, and the gate dielectric are substantially transparent.

Regarding claims 28-30, the claim limitations "providing a precursor composition including one or more compounds of a gallium precursor compound; depositing a channel of gallium oxide from the precursor composition" in claim 28, "depositing the channel includes: vaporizing the precursor composition to form vaporized precursor composition; and depositing the vaporized precursor composition using a physical vapor deposition technique" in claim 29, "the physical vapor deposition technique includes one or more of dc sputtering, rf sputtering, magnetron sputtering, and ion beam sputtering" in claim 30 are taken to be product by process limitations. A product by process claim directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old and obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not.

Regarding claim 34, Cillessen et al. further disclose a plurality of display elements 30, where each of the display elements includes a semiconductor device 1 (see Figures 8-10).

Art Unit: 2811

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3, 10-11, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cillessen et al. (US 5,744,864) in view of Ueda et al. (reference 7Q).

Cillessen et al. as described above does not explicitly disclose the gallium oxide (Ga_2O_3) being a single-phase crystalline form of β - Ga_2O_3 . Ueda discloses β - Ga_2O_3 single crystals as a transparent conducting oxide. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transparent conducting oxide of single-phase crystalline form of β - Ga_2O_3 taught by Ueda et al. for the gallium oxide in the channel 4 of Cillessen et al. in order to obtain improved transparency and higher conductivity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien F. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1665. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2811

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tt October 11, 2005

THIENTRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6